arXiv:0706.1396v1 [math.RT] 11 Jun 2007

A universal enveloping for L_∞-algebras.

Vladimir Baranovsky

June 10, 2007

Abstract

For any L_∞-algebra L we construct an A_∞-algebra structure on the symmetric coalgebra $Sym^*_c(L)$ and prove that this structure satifies properties generalizing those of the usual universal enveloping algebra. We also obtain an invariant contracting homotopy one the cobar construction of a symmetric coalgebra, by relating it to the combinatorics of permutahedra and semistandard Young tableaux.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this article is to generalize the universal enveloping from Lie to L_∞-algebras. One candidate is well-known: the cobar construction $\Omega C(L)$ of the Cartan-Chevalley-Eilenberg coalgebra $C(L)$. In fact, for a DG Lie algebra L there exists a surjective quasi-isomorphism of DG algebras $\Omega C(L) \to U(L)$ (and even of DG Hopf algebras). Of course, $\Omega C(L)$ is much larger than $U(L)$: on the level of vector spaces the former is isomorphic to tensor algebra $T^*\Lambda^*(L)$ on the exterior coalgebra $\Lambda^*(L)$, while the latter is isomorphic to the symmetric coalgebra $Sym^*(L)$ by PBW theorem.

The DG algebra $\Omega C(L)$ also makes sense for a general L_∞-algebra L and works well enough as a universal enveloping if we deal with DG algebras up to quasi-isomorphism. In other situations, one would like to have some structure on $Sym^*(L)$ generalizing the usual universal enveloping. Since A_∞-algebras relate to associative algebras as L_∞-algebras to Lie algebras, it is natural to expect that $Sym^*(L)$ should be an A_∞-algebra.

To construct it, we first consider a general L_∞-algebra L as a DG vector space (= DG Lie algebra with trivial bracket). Then $C(L)$ turns into the symmetric coalgebra $Sym^*_c(sL)$ on the suspension sL (isomorphic as a vector space to the exterior coalgebra $\Lambda^*(L)$) and the universal enveloping turns into the symmetric algebra $Sym^*_a(L)$. Passing from $\Omega Sym^*_c(sL)$ to $\Omega C(L)$ amounts to perturbing the differential on the tensor algebra and the standard techniques of homological perturbation theory, cf. e.g. [GLS], give an A_∞-structure on $Sym^*(L)$. After the first draft of the present paper has been completed, it was brought to the author's attention that a similar strategy (using filtrations instead of perturbation theory) was used by Polishchuk and Positselski in [PP] in their proof of the PBW theorem.

However, functorial properties of such A_∞-structure will depend on a homotopy contracting $\Omega Sym^*_c(sL)$ onto $Sym^*_a(L)$. For example, when L is a finite dimensional vector space in degree zero, one needs the homotopy to be $GL(L)$-invariant.

This motivates a closer study of $\Omega Sym_c^*(sV)$ for a DG vector space V. In Section 3 we prove an isomorphism of complexes, cf. Theorem 1:

$$\Omega Sym_c^*(sV) \simeq k \oplus \bigoplus_{n\geq 1} \Big(V^{\otimes n} \otimes_{k[\Sigma_n]} C_*(P_n) \Big)$$

where Σ_n is the symmetric group and $C_*(P_n)$ is the complex computing the cell homology of the n-th permutahedron P_n (the convex hull of the orbit of $(1, 2, \ldots, n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ under the natural action of the symmetric group). This leads to a functorial - but not quite canonical - choice of a contracting homotopy.

In Section 2 we construct the universal enveloping $U(L)$ and prove that it has expected properties that generalize those of the classical universal enveloping. In particular, in Theorem 2 we show that $U(L)$ is a sort of "homotopy Hopf algebra" even though the operadic meaning of our construction remains unclear at the moment, see Section 4 for a discussion. At the moment, $L \mapsto U(L)$ falls short of being a functor: we are only able to prove that $U(\psi) \circ U(\phi) = U(\psi \circ \phi)$ if one of the L_∞-morphisms ψ, ϕ is *strict*. In Theorem 3 we generalize the classical complex $C(L) \otimes U(L)$ and prove a derived equivalence between $C(L)$ and $U(L)$ (one of the versions of the BGG correspondence). In Theorem 4 we show that appropriate categories of A_∞-modules over $U(L)$ and L_∞-modules over L, are equivalent. At the end of Section 2 we also discuss the example which has been the original motivation for this paper: namely, if $S(X)$ is the homogeneous coordinate algebra of a toric complete intersection X, then its "Koszul dual" $E(X)$ is precisely the universal enveloping algebra of the L_∞-algebra L which is defined using the equations of X.

In Section 4 we discuss some further questions related to the Hopf property of $U(L)$, operads, etc. The appendix contains standard results on differential homological algebra and homological perturbation theory.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Jim Stasheff and Pavlo Pylyavskyy for the useful discussions. This work was supported by the Sloan Research Fellowship.

2 The Universal Enveloping

2.1 Signs and suspensions

We consider complexes of vector spaces k over a field of characteristic zero. We use cohomological grading, to be denoted by superscripts, in which differentials have degree $+1$. If V is a complex, its suspension sV is defined by $(sV)^p = V^{p+1}$, $d(sv) = -s(dv)$. In particular $\deg(sv) = \deg v - 1$. All tensor products are over k unless indicated otherwise. Throughout this paper we use the Koszul sign rule

$$(F \otimes G)(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{\deg G \cdot \deg a} F(a) \otimes G(b)$$

If V is a graded vector space $Sym^*(V) = \oplus_{k\geq 0} Sym^k(V)$ will stand for its graded symmetric tensors, i.e. $Sym^k(V)$ is the space of vectors in $V^{\otimes k}$ which are invariant with respect to the *graded* action of the symmetric group S_k (i.e. whenever two odd elements are permuted this leads to a change of sign). If we disregard the grading and assume that V has only even vectors (resp. only odd vectors) this will become the usual space of symmetric (resp. antisymmetric) tensors. Note that $Sym^*(V)$ has standard structures of a commutative algebra $Sym^*_a(V)$ and a cocommutative coalgebra $Sym^*_c(V)$.

2.2 Universal enveloping: case of Lie algebras and the general plan.

Let L be a DG Lie algebra. One way - perhaps a little exotic - to construct its universal enveloping algebra is outlined below. We use the notions of the reduced bar construction $B(A)$ of an augmented DG algebra A (and its A_∞-version), reduced cobar construction $\Omega(C)$ of a coaugmented coalgebra C, and the Cartan-Chevalley-Eilenberg coalgebra $C(L)$ of a DG Lie algebra L (and its L_∞-version). All these are reviewed in the appendix, see also [MSS], [K] and [LM]. Also, $U(L)$ will stand for the classical universal enveloping of a DG Lie algebra L, and later its L_∞-version.

Consider the natural projection $s^{-1}C(L) = s^{-1}Sym^*(sL) \to L$ and extend it to a morphism of algebras $\Omega C(L) \to U(L)$. Direct computation shows that this is actually a morphism of DG bialgebras. By Theorem 22.9 and the first equality on page 290 in [FHT], it is also a quasi-isomorphism. In Section 3 we essentially re-prove this assertion.

We can turn this property inside out and use as a definition. First, consider L with the same differential but trivial Lie bracket. The above construction gives a quasi-isomorphism of DG algebras $\Omega C(L) \to Sym^*_a(L)$. Bringing back the original bracket on L will deform the differential on $C(L)$, and therefore the differential on $\Omega C(L)$. The general machinery of perturbation theory, see [GLS] and the next subsection, gives a new DG algebra structure on $Sym^*(L)$ and a multiplicative projection from $\Omega C(L)$ onto $Sym^*(L)$ which is still a quasi-isomorphism. In Theorem 2 (v) we prove that the new structure on $Sym^*(L)$ is precisely the universal enveloping $U(L)$ (identified by PBW theorem with $Sym^*(L)$ as a *coalgebra*).

This approach also gives a recipe for a general L_∞-algebra L, since an L_∞-structure also gives a perturbation of the differential on $C(L)$ and we can carry out a similar procedure of adjusting the product on $Sym^*(L)$. By *loc. cit.* such adjustment in general leads to an A_∞-structure on $Sym^*(L)$. As the procedure depends on a choice of homotopy on $\Omega C(L)$ our construction will be based on the following result.

Theorem 1 *For a complex* V *set* $A(V) = \Omega Sym^*_c(sV)$, $E(V) = Sym^*_a(V)$. *Let* $f_V : A(V) \to E(V)$ *be the multiplicative extension of the projection* $s^{-1}Sym^{\geq 1}(sV) \to V$, *and* $g_V : E(V) \to A(V)$ *the map given by composition of natural embeddings*

$$Sym^n(V) \hookrightarrow V^{\otimes n} \hookrightarrow T^*(V) \hookrightarrow T^*(s^{-1}Sym^*_c(sV)) = \Omega Sym^*_c(sV)$$

Then $f_V g_V = 1$ *and there exists a contracting homotopy* $h_V : A(V) \to A(V)$ *which satisfies*

$$1 - g_V f_V = dh_V + h_V d; \qquad f_V h_V = 0; \qquad h_V g_V = 0; \qquad h_V h_V = 0$$

and is functorial in the following sense: for every morphism of complexes $\phi : V \to W$ the natural induced map $A(V) \to A(W)$ fits into commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A(V) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "h_V"'] & A(W) \arrow[d, "h_W"'] \\
A(V) \arrow[r] & A(W)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, one can choose h_V to commute with the algebra anti-involution ι_Ω on $\Omega Sym_c^*(sV)$ which acts by (-1) on the space of generators $s^{-1}Sym_c^*(sV)$.

The proof of this theorem is given in Section 3. We will see that such a homotopy h_V (or rather a system of homotopies $V \mapsto h_V$) is not unique but its choice depends of purely combinatorial data that has nothing to do with V.

2.3 Universal enveloping: construction and first properties.

Construction.

Let $(L, \{l_i\}_{i\geq 1})$ be an L_∞-algebra. First consider the complex (L, l_1) and set in Theorem 1 $V = L$ which gives a contraction (f_L, g_L, h_L) from $A(L) = \Omega Sym_c^*(sL)$ to $E(L) = Sym_a^*(L)$. From this we produce a contraction of the free tensor coalgebra $T_c^*(s\overline{A(L)})$ onto the free tensor coalgebra $T_c^*(s\overline{E(L)})$ (here and below $\overline{(\cdot)}$ denotes the augmentation ideal). Recall coproduct on $T_c^*(s\overline{A(L)})$:

$$\Delta_B[a_1, \ldots, a_n] = 1 \boxtimes [a_1, \ldots, a_n] + [a_1, \ldots, a_n] \boxtimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}[a_1, \ldots, a_i] \boxtimes [a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n],$$

and similarly for $T_c^*(s\overline{E(L)})$. On $s\overline{A(L)} \subset T_c^*(s\overline{A(L)})$ we set $f'_L = sf_Ls^{-1}$, $g'_L = sg_Ls^{-1}$, $h'_L = -sh_Ls^{-1}$, and define a contraction on $(s\overline{A(L)})^{\otimes n} \subset T_c^*(s\overline{A(L)})$ by

$$F_L^\circ = (f'_L)^{\otimes n}, \quad G_L^\circ = (g'_L)^{\otimes n}, \quad H_L^\circ = \sum_{t=1}^{n}(g'_Lf'_L)^{\otimes(t-1)} \otimes h'_L \otimes 1^{\otimes(n-t)}.$$

Observe that H_L° satisfies the *coalgebra homotopy* condition

$$\Delta_B H_L^\circ = (H_L^\circ \otimes 1 + G_L^\circ F_L^\circ \otimes H_L^\circ)\Delta_B.$$

Denote by δ_L° and d_L° the differentials of the two tensor coalgebras, respectively. By definition $BA(L)$ differs from $T_c^*(s\overline{A(L)})$ only in its differential, given by

$$\delta_L = \delta_L^\circ + t_\mu + t_L$$

where t_μ is the part that encodes the product on the tensor algebra $A(sL)$ and t_L is the perturbation which encodes the L_∞-brackets $l_i, i \geq 2$ on L, cf Section 5.1 in the appendix. Using Proposition 7 in the appendix we obtain a new contracting homotopy

$$F_L = (F_L^\circ)_{t_\mu + t_L}, G_L = (G_L^\circ)_{t_\mu + t_L}, H_L = (H_L^\circ)_{t_\mu + t_L}$$

from $B\Omega C(L)$ to $T_c^*(s\overline{E(L)})$ with its new differential $d_L = (d_L^\circ)_{t_\mu + t_L}$. Since $t = t_\mu + t_L$ is a coalgebra perturbation, i.e.

$$\Delta_B t = (t \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t)\Delta_B,$$

the new differential d_L is again a coderivation, F_L, G_L are morphisms of DG coalgebras and H_L is a coalgebra homotopy, cf. [GLS].

Definition.

i. Denote by $U(L)$ the vector space $E(L) = Sym^*(L)$ with the A_∞-structure $\{m_i\}_{i \geq 2}$ given by the above coalgebra differential d_L on $T_c^*(s\overline{E(L)})$. Then $(T_c^*(s\overline{E(L)}), d_L)$ tautologically turns into the cobar construction $BU(L)$ of $U(L)$.

ii. If L, M are two L_∞ algebras and $\phi : C(L) \to C(M)$ is an L_∞ morphism, cf. [LM], let $U(\phi) = F_M \, B\Omega(\phi) \, G_L : BU(L) \to BU(M)$.

iii. If $\phi : C(L) \to C(M)$ and $\psi : C(M) \to C(N)$ are two L_∞-morphisms, set $H(\phi, \psi) = F_N \, B\Omega(\psi) \, H_M \, B\Omega(\phi) \, G_L : BU(L) \to BU(N)$.

Theorem 2 *Let $\phi : C(L) \to C(M)$ be an L_∞-morphism of L_∞-algebras L, M and $\phi_1 : L \to M$ be its first component. Then*

i. $U(\phi)$ is an A_∞-morphism from $U(L)$ to $U(M)$ and its first component $U(\phi)_1 : U(L) = Sym^*(L) \to Sym^*(M) = U(M)$ is given by symmetrization of ϕ_1.

ii. If $\phi : L \to M$ is a strict morphism of L_∞-algebras, i.e. $\phi_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$, then the same holds for $U(\phi)$, i.e. $U(\phi)_i = 0$ for $i \geq 2$.

iii. The standard coproduct $\Delta : Sym^*(L) \to Sym^*(L) \otimes Sym^*(L)$ is a strict morphism of A_∞-algebras, if the latter is given an A_∞-structure via the natural isomorphism

$$Sym^*(L) \otimes Sym^*(L) \simeq Sym^*(L \oplus L).$$

iv. If $\phi : C(L) \to C(M)$ and $\psi : C(M) \to C(N)$ are two L_∞-morphisms then

$$U(\psi \circ \phi) - U(\psi) \circ U(\phi) = d_{U(N)} H(\phi, \psi) + H(\phi, \psi) d_{U(L)} : BU(L) \to BU(N).$$

Moreover, if at least one of the morphisms ϕ, ψ is strict, then $H(\phi, \psi) = 0$.

v. Suppose that the 2-truncation (L, l_1, l_2) is a DG Lie algebra. Then $(U(L), m_1, m_2)$ is a DG algebra isomorphic to the usual universal enveloping of (L, l_1, l_2).

vi. Let $\iota : U(L) \to U(L)$ *be the linear involution that corresponds to the action of* $(-1)^k$ *on* $Sym^k(L)$*. Then*

$$m_n \circ \iota^{\otimes n} = \iota \circ m_n \circ \omega_n$$

where ω_n *is the permutation* $\{1, \dots, n\} \to \{n, \dots, 1\}$*. In other words,* ι *is a strict morphism* $U(L) \to U(L)^{op}$*, where* $(\cdot)^{op}$ *is the opposite* A_∞*-structure.*

vii. Let $n \geq 2$ *and* $v_1, \dots, v_n \in L \subset U(L)$*. Let* $Alt(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n)$ *be the graded antisymmetrization of* $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n$*. Then*

$$m_n(Alt(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n)) = l_n(v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

Proof of (i) - (iv). To prove (i) first observe that F_M and G_L are DG coalgebra morphisms by [GLS] and $B\Omega(\phi)$ is a DG coalgebra morphism since ϕ itself is a DG coalgebra morphism. Therefore $U(\phi) : BU(L) \to BU(M)$ is a DG coalgebra morphism encoding an A_∞-morphism $U(L) \to U(M)$. To compute the first component we need to evaluate $U(\phi)$ on $v \in \overline{U(L)} \subset BU(L)$. But, for such an element, all terms in F_M, G_L which involve perturbation of the differentials on $B\Omega C(L)$, $B\Omega C(M)$, are identically zero, therefore $U(\phi)(v) = F^\circ_M B\Omega(\phi) G^\circ_L(v)$ and the latter map is precisely given by the symmetrization $Sym(\phi)$ of ϕ.

To prove (ii) we observe that for a strict morphism ϕ one has $H^\circ_M B\Omega(\phi) = B\Omega(\phi) H^\circ_L$ by Theorem 1. Using the explicit formulas of the Basic Perturbation Lemma,

$$F_M = F^\circ_M(1 - X_M H^\circ_M); \quad G_L = (1 - H^\circ_L X_L) G^\circ_L; \quad H_M = H^\circ_M(1 - X_M H^\circ_M)$$

and the side conditions $H^\circ_M H^\circ_M = 0, F^\circ_M H^\circ_M = 0, H^\circ_L G^\circ_L = 0$ we obtain

$$F_M \circ B\Omega(\phi) \circ G_L = F^\circ_M \circ B\Omega(\phi) \circ G^\circ_L = BSym(\phi)$$

Part (iii) is an immediate application of (ii) to the diagonal map $L \to L \oplus L$, $x \mapsto x \oplus x$ which is a strict morphism of L_∞-algebras.

Finally, the left hand side in part (iv) by definition is equal to

$$F_N B\Omega(\psi)(1 - G_M F_M) B\Omega(\phi) G_L = F_N B\Omega(\psi)(\delta_N H_M + H_M \delta_N) B\Omega(\phi) G_L$$

and the assertion follows since F_N, $B\Omega(\psi)$, $B\Omega(\psi)$ and G_L are morphisms of complexes. To prove the vanishing we observe that, by Theorem 1, $H^\circ_M B\Omega(\phi) = B\Omega(\phi) H^\circ_L$ if ϕ is strict, and similarly for ψ. Now the side conditions and the formulas for F, G, H finish the proof.

Proof of (v). First we assume that L is a Lie algebra, i.e. all l_i vanish for $i \geq 3$. The A_∞-structure on $E(L) = Sym^*(L)$ is given by the following differential on $T^*_c(sE(L))$:

$$d_L = d^\circ_L + F^\circ_L \Big(\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \big((t_\mu + t_L) H^\circ_L \big)^i \Big) (t_\mu + t_L) G^\circ_L$$

To simplify this expression we first introduce a "geometric grading" on $\Omega Sym_c^*(sL)$ by declaring that elements of $s^{-1}Sym^k(sL)$ have degree $(k-1)$, and extending to $\Omega Sym_c^*(sL)$ multiplicatively (we can agree that $k \subset \Omega Sym_c^*(sL)$ has degree (-1) but that will not be used in the proof). From the point of view Lemma 5 in Section 3, this grading corresponds to dimension of the cells of permutahedra. We extend it to $B\Omega Sym_c^*(sL)$ in the obvious way (again, setting to (-1) on the constants).

Then t_L vanishes on elements of geometric degree 0 since those elements are products of linear symmetric tensors, and the bracket l_2 encoded by t_L needs two inputs. Since the image of G_L° belongs to the degree 0 part we will have $t_L G_L^\circ = 0$. Also, the proof of Theorem 1, cf. Section 3.2, implies that H_L° increases the geometric degree by 1, t_L decreases by 1, t_μ preserves it, while F_L° vanishes on elements of positive degree. Consequently, the above formula for the deformed differential simplifies to

$$d_L = d_L^\circ + F_L^\circ \Big(\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \big(t_L H_L^\circ \big)^i \Big) t_\mu G_L^\circ$$

Since the terms responsible for a multiple product $m_n : U(L)^{\otimes n} \to U(L)$ are those which contain t_μ exactly $(n-1)$ times, we see that the differential on $U(L)$ is the same on $Sym^*(L)$ and all m_n with $n \geq 3$ vanish. Therefore $U(L)$ is a DG algebra. Denoting the usual symmetric product in $E(L) = Sym^*(L)$ by $*$ we also see that for $x, y \in Sym^*(L)$ homogeneous in the geometric grading:

$$m_2(x, y) = x * y + (\text{terms of lower geometric degree}).$$

Therefore, the subspace $L \subset U(L)$ generates $U(L)$ as an algebra. For $v, u \in L$ an explicit computation shows

$$m_2(v, u) = v * u + \frac{1}{2} l_2(v, u).$$

Denote for a moment by $U^{cl}(L)$ the classical universal enveloping. The last formula gives a surjective DG algebra morphism $U^{cl}(L) \to U(L)$ which is easily seen to be an isomorphism by an inductive argument involving natural filtrations on both algebras.

Next, we assume that the higher products l_i, $i \geq 3$ of L are not necessariy zero. Then the pertrubation $\delta_L = \delta_L^\circ + t_\mu + t_L$ can be split as $(\delta_L^\circ + t_\mu + t_L^2) + (t_L - t_L^{(2)})$ where t_L^2 is the term coming from the bracket l_2. The expression in the first parenthesis has square zero since by assumption (L, l_1, l_2) is a DG Lie algebra. Denote by F', G', H' and d_L' the data corresponding to the perturbation $\delta_L^\circ + t_\mu + t_L^{(2)}$ and set $t_L^{\geq 3} = t_L - t_L^2$. By Proposition 7 in the appendix the A_∞-structure of $U(L)$ corresponds to the perturbation of F', G', H' and d_L' by $t_L^{\geq 3}$. In particular, the differential of $BU(L)$ is given by

$$d_L' + F' \big(\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i (t_L^{\geq 3} H')^i \big) t_L^{\geq 3} G'.$$

Evaluating the second term on $s\overline{U(L)} \subset BU(L)$ and $s\overline{U(L)} \otimes s\overline{U(L)} \subset BU(L)$ will give zero for the following reasons. Firstly, for $x \in s\overline{U(L)}$ we have $G'(x) = G_L^\circ(x)$ since $(t_\mu +$

$t_L^2)G_L^\circ(x) = 0$. But then $t_L^{\geq 3}G'(x) = t_L^{\geq 3}G_L^\circ(x) = 0$ since $t^{\geq 3}$ vanishes on terms of geometric degree ≤ 1. Secondly, for $x_1, x_2 \in s\overline{U(L)}$ by a similar computation

$$G'(x_1 \otimes x_2) = \Big[\sum_{i\geq 0}(-1)^i (H_L^\circ t_L^2)^i\Big] H_L^\circ(G_L^\circ(x_1) \otimes G_L^\circ(x_2))$$

Since H increases the geometric degree by 1 and t_L^2 decreases it 1, the above expression has geometric degree 1, so $t_L^{\geq 3}$ vanishes on it. This means that the differential and the product of $U(L)$ are the same as for the 2-truncation (L, l_1, l_2), which finishes the proof of (iv).

To prove (vi) for $n \geq 3$ consider a similar anti-involution $\iota_\Omega : \Omega C(L) \to \Omega C(L)^{op}$ of Theorem 1. Let $\widehat{\omega}$ be a linear involution on $BU(L)$ which acts by ω_n on $(s\overline{U(L)})^{\otimes n}$ and use the same notation for the corresponding involution on $B\Omega C(L)$. Denote by $\pi : BU(L) \to \overline{U(L)}$ projection onto the first component. Also, let $B\iota$, $B\iota_\Omega$ be the linear involutions on the bar constructions which act by $s^{\otimes n}\iota^{\otimes n}(s^{\otimes n})^{-1}$, $s^{\otimes n}\iota_\Omega^{\otimes n}(s^{\otimes n})^{-1}$ on the n-th tensor components, respectively. Since $\omega_n s^{\otimes n} = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} s^{\otimes n}\omega_n : (\overline{U(L)})^{\otimes n} \to (s\overline{U(L)})^{\otimes n}$, we need to show that

$$(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\pi(F_L^\circ X_L G_L^\circ)(B\iota\, \widehat{\omega}) = (B\iota\, \widehat{\omega})\pi(F_L^\circ X_L G_L^\circ)$$

on $(s\overline{U(L)})^{\otimes n}$. By Section 5.2 in the appendix X_L is a sum of several terms of the form

$$(-1)^s a_1 \dots a_s t_\mu$$

where each a_i is either $(t_L H_L^\circ)$ or $(t_\mu H_L^\circ)$. If such a term is to give a nonzero contribution to the expression above, the operator t_μ should be used exactly $(n-1)$ times, since we need to get from $(s\overline{U(L)})^{\otimes n}$ to $s\overline{U(L)}$. It is easy to see that

$$(B\iota\, \widehat{\omega})F_L^\circ = F_L^\circ(B\iota_\Omega\, \widehat{\omega}); \quad (B\iota_\Omega\, \widehat{\omega})G_L^\circ = G_L^\circ(B\iota\, \widehat{\omega})$$

and that $(B\iota_\Omega\, \widehat{\omega})$ commutes with the operators t_L and H_L°. Now what we need to prove follows from the following formula, easily checked by direct computation:

$$(B\iota_\Omega\, \widehat{\omega})t_\mu = (-1)^{i-1}t_\mu(B\iota_\Omega\, \widehat{\omega}) : (s\overline{U(L)})^{\otimes i} \to (s\overline{U(L)})^{\otimes(i-1)}.$$

For $n = 2$ the same argument works for $(m_2 - *)$ where $*$ is the usual product on $Sym^*(L)$. Since $*$ is commutative, the assertion holds for m_2 as well. For $n = 1$, the differential on $U(L)$ is the same as on $Sym^*(L)$ and the statement holds again.

Finally, (vii) is a restatement of Theorem 3 (i) below and its proof will be given there. $\square$

2.4 Universal enveloping: categories of modules.

Recall that $U(L)$ denotes the vector space $Sym^*(L)$ with the A_∞-structure constructed in the previous subsection. The next theorem deals with the notion of a generalized twisted cochain and the functors defined by it, see appendix. Part (iii) asserts a BGG-type equivalence to two derived categories, $\mathcal{D}U(L)$ and $\mathcal{D}C(L)$. The derived category $\mathcal{D}U(L)$ is obtained by localizing the category $Mod_\infty(U(L))$ of strictly unital A_∞-modules over $U(L)$ and strictly unital morphisms (= the full subcategory of DG-comodules over $BU(L)$ which are free as comodules), at the class of quasi-isomorphisms. The derived category $\mathcal{D}C(L)$ is obtained by localizing the category $Comodc(C(L))$ of cocomplete counital DG-comodules over $C(L)$, by the class of weak equivalences (i.e. morphisms which induce a quasi-isomorphism on the bar construction). See Chapter 2 in [LH] and Section 3.2 in [B2] for more details.

Theorem 3 *The universal enveloping $U(L)$ has the following properties:*

i. the composition $\tau : C(L) \to L \to U(L)$ is a generalized twisted cochain;

ii. the complex $C(L) \otimes_\tau U(L)$ is quasi-isomorphic to k and the DG algebra morphism $\Omega C(L) \to \Omega BU(L)$ induced by τ, is a quasi-isomorphism;

iii. the functors $M \mapsto M \otimes_\tau C(L)$ and $N \mapsto N \otimes_\tau U(L)$ induce mutually inverse equivalences of the derived categories $\mathcal{D}C(L)$ and $\mathcal{D}U(L)$.

Proof. To prove (i), start with the composition

$$C(L) \to B\Omega C(L) \xrightarrow{F_L} BU(L).$$

Since it is a DG coalgebra morphism, by 5.3 in the appendix, its projection onto $U(L)$ is a generalized twisted cochain $C(L) \to U(L)$. It is easy to check that it coincides with τ.

Part (ii) is known when L is an abelian and the general case follows by perturbation lemma as in the construction before Theorem 2. Alternatively, for the fist assertion we could first replace $U(L)$ by $\Omega C(L)$ where the corresponding results are again well known, cf. [FHT], and then pass from $\Omega C(L)$ to $U(L)$ using the strategy of [AAFR]; while the second assertion is entirely similar to the case of Lemma 6 in [B2].

Part (iii) is a standard consequence of (ii), see Section 3.3. of [B2] and [LH] for the associative case. □

We can also construct a pair of functors relating L-modules to $U(L)$-modules. Let $Mod(L)$ be the category of L_∞-modules over L and L_∞-morphisms (= the category of DG comodules over $C(L)$ which are free as $C(L)$-comodules). By the appendix, we can also view an L-module structure on M as a twisted cochain $\tau : C(L) \to End(M)$. The corresponding DG coalgebra map $C(L) \to BEnd(M)$ admits a canonical factoring

$$C(L) \to B\Omega C(L) \to BEnd(M)$$

since we can extend τ to a DG algebra map $\Omega C(L) \to End(M)$ and then apply the bar construction. Therefore, composing with $G_L : BU(L) \to B\Omega C(L)$ we get a DG-coalgebra map $BU(L) \to BEnd(M)$, i.e. a strictly unital A_∞-module structure on M. This defines a functor

$$\mathcal{G} : Mod(L) \to Mod_\infty(U(L))$$

In the opposite direction, we start with a DG coalgebra morphism $BU(L) \to BEnd(M)$ and then composing with the canonical map $C(L) \to B\Omega C(L)$ and $F_L : B\Omega C(L) \to BU(L)$ we get a DG coalgebra map $C(L) \to BEnd(M)$, i.e. a twisted cochain $C(L) \to End(M)$ which gives M a structure of an L_∞-module over L. This defines a functor

$$\mathcal{F} : Mod_\infty(U(L)) \to Mod(L).$$

Observe that in both cases the underlying vector space does not change.

Theorem 4 *The above functors $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{F}$ are mutually inverse equivalences.*

Proof. In one direction, supppose we start with an A_∞-module structure on M given by $BU(L) \to BEnd(M)$. Applying $\mathcal{GF}$ amounts to considering the composition

$$BU(L) \xrightarrow{G_L} B\Omega C(L) \xrightarrow{F_L} BU(L) \to BEnd(M).$$

Since the composition of the first two arrows is identity, we conclude that the identity map on M gives an isomorphism of A_∞-modules $\mathcal{GF}(M)$ and M.

In the other direction, suppose we start with a twisted cochain $C(L) \to End(M)$ and construct $B\Omega C(L) \to BEnd(M)$ as above. The L_∞-module corresponding to $\mathcal{FG}(M)$ is obtained from a DG coalgebra morphism

$$C(L) \to B\Omega C(L) \xrightarrow{F_L} BU(L) \xrightarrow{G_L} B\Omega C(L) \to BEnd(M)$$

In view of $G_L F_L = 1 - \delta_L H_L - H_L \delta_L$ it suffices to show that the composition

$$C(L) \to B\Omega C(L) \overset{\delta_L H_L + H_L \delta_L}{\longrightarrow} B\Omega C(L) \to BEnd(M)$$

is zero. That in its turn would follow from the vanishing of

$$C(L) \to B\Omega C(L) \xrightarrow{H_L} B\Omega C(L).$$

But the latter holds since h_L vanishes on $s^{-1}\overline{C}(L) \subset \Omega C(L)$ by its construction, see Section 3.2 (the homotopy $\mathcal{H}_n$ vanishes on the top-dimensional cell of the permutahedron P_n). Thus, the idenitity on M also gives an isomorphism of L_∞-modules M and $\mathcal{FG}(M)$, which finishes the proof. □

2.5 An example: toric complete intersections.

The following example had originally motivated our study of L_∞-algebras. See [B1] and [B2] for details.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^\Sigma$ be a complete intersection in a toric variety defined by a fan Σ. Then X has a "homogeneous coordinate ring" $S(X) = Sym^*(V)/J$, a quotient of a polynomial ring by an ideal generated by a regular sequence of polynomials $W_1, \ldots, W_m$. For a general toric variety $S(X)$ will be graded by a finitely generated abelian group $A(X)$ and $W_1, \ldots, W_m$

will be homogeneous in this grading (but not the usual grading of $Sym^*(V)$). One can always assume that $W_1, \dots, W_m$ have no linear terms.

In this setting, define the "Koszul dual" of $S(X)$ as the Yoneda algebra $E(X) = Ext^*_{S(X)}(k,k)$ with its natural A_∞-structure (defined in general up to A_∞-homotopy).

Introducing formal degree 2 variables $z_1, \dots, z_m$ which span a vector space U we can define an L_∞-algebra $L = s^{-1}V^\vee \oplus U$ by viewing the formal sum $W = \sum W_i(sz_i)$ as a differential on $C(L) = Sym^*_c(V^\vee \oplus sU)$, if we agree that W_j act by differential operators on $Sym^*(V^\vee)$.

It was shown in [B1] and [B2] that the Koszul dual $E(X)$ may be identified with the universal enveloping $U(L)$ (the two papers quoted used Koszul type-resolutions instead of $\Omega C(L)$ which still lead to the same A_∞-structure, perhaps after a change of contracting homotopy). The interpretation in terms of *Ext* groups also follows from Theorem 3 (ii).

3 A homotopy on the cobar construction

3.1 Permutahedra

Let $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq d \leq n$ and set $P(n,d)$ to be the set of ordered partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ which have d parts. Equivalently, any such partition can be viewed as a surjective map $\psi : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, d\}$: setting $\psi_i = \psi^{-1}(i) \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $1 \leq i \leq d$ we get an ordered partition $[\psi_1|\dots|\psi_d]$. There exists, cf. e.g. [SU], a polytope P_n, called the n-th permutahedron, such that $P(n,d)$ labels the faces of dimension $n-d$ in P_n. In particular, P_n has dimension $n-1$ and its vertices are labeled by permutations of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

To consider the homology complex of P_n define an orientation of

$$\psi : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, d\}$$

as an equivalence class of orderings on each subset ψ_i, such that two orderings are equivalent if they differ by an even permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We choose the orientation corresponding to the natural increasing ordering on ψ_j.

Let $C_*(P_n)$ be the *homology* complex of P_n with grading inverted to ensure that differential has degree $+1$ (thus, $C_*(P_n)$ is concentrated in degrees $-n+1, \dots, 0$). The notation $\psi = [\psi_1|\dots|\psi_d]$ allows to reduce most of the signs below to the Koszul sign rule if we assume that the symbol $|$ has degree $(+1)$ and each of the elements in ψ_i degree (-1).

The differential of $C_*(P)$, cf. [SU], is given by:

$$\partial[\psi_1|\dots|\psi_d] = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq d \\ M \subsetneq \psi_k}} (-1)^{\psi,M}[\psi_1|\dots|\psi_{k-1}|M|\psi_k \setminus M|\psi_{k+1}|\dots|\psi_d].$$

The sign is

$$(-1)^{\psi,M} = (-1)^{m_1+\dots+m_{k-1}+(k-1)+\#M}(-1)^{\sigma_M}$$

where $m_i = \#\psi_i$ and σ_M is the unshuffle that takes ψ_k to $[M|\psi_k \setminus M]$ (again, taken with the natural increasing ordering). The symmetric group Σ_n acts from the left on each $P(n,d)$

and on $C_*(P_n)$:

$$\sigma[\psi_1|\dots|\psi_d] = \pm[\sigma(\psi_1)|\dots|\sigma(\psi_d)].$$

where the sign is $(+1)$ if the ordering induced from ψ by σ is equivalent to the increasing ordering, and (-1) otherwise. In addition, $C_*(P_n)$ has an involution

$$\nu_n[\psi_1|\psi_2|\dots|\psi_{d-1}|\psi_d] = -(-1)^{n(d-1)+\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}+\sum_{i<j} m_i m_j}[\psi_d|\psi_{d-1}|\dots|\psi_2|\psi_1]$$

which commutes with the differential and the Σ_n-action. Therefore, we actually have a $\Sigma_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-action on $C_*(P)$.

Define a bilinear map $\Theta : V^{\otimes n} \times C_*(P_n) \to \Omega Sym^*_c(sV)$ by

$\Theta(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n, [\psi_1|\dots|\psi_d]) = (-1)^{(n-d)(\sum_i \deg v_i)} s^{-1}(s^{\otimes m_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes s^{-1}(s^{\otimes m_k})\big[(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \cdot \sigma_\psi\big]$

where σ_ψ is the permutation $\{1, \dots, n\} \to [\psi_1|\dots|\psi_d]$ and each $s^{-1}(s^{\otimes m})$ is viewed as a map $V^{\otimes m} \to s^{-1}Sym^m(sV)$, $u_1 \otimes \dots \otimes u_m \mapsto \pm s^{-1}(su_1 \dots su_m)$ with the sign determined by the Koszul rule. The following lemma amounts to a direct computation.

Lemma 5 *The map Θ induces an isomorphism of complexes*

$$\Omega Sym^*_c(sV) \simeq k \oplus \bigoplus_{n\geq 1} \Big(V^{\otimes n} \otimes_{k[\Sigma_n]} C_*(P_n)\Big)$$

which takes ι_Ω to $1 \oplus \bigoplus_{n\geq 1}(1 \otimes \nu_n)$.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.

Since P_n is a convex polyhedron, the complex $C_*(P_n)$ has cohomology k in degree 0, and zero everywhere else. Let $\mathcal{F}_n : C_*(P_n) \to k$, $\mathcal{G}_n : k \to C_*(P_n)$, be the natural $\Sigma_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant projection and embedding, respectively (where k is viewed as a trivial $\Sigma_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-module). Since we are working in characteristic zero, we can find a $\Sigma_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant contracting homotopy $\mathcal{H}_n : C_*(P_n) \to C_*(P_n)$. It is well known, see e.g. Section 2.1 in [LS], that we can also assume the side conditions:

$$\mathcal{H}_n\mathcal{G}_n = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}_n\mathcal{H}_n = 0, \quad \mathcal{H}_n\mathcal{H}_n = 0$$

(if the first two identities are not satisfied then replace $\mathcal{H}_n$ by $\mathcal{H}'_n = (1-\mathcal{G}_n\mathcal{F}_n)\mathcal{H}_n(1-\mathcal{G}_n\mathcal{F}_n)$, then if the last identity is not satisfied, replace $\mathcal{H}'_n$ by $\mathcal{H}''_n = \mathcal{H}'_n d\mathcal{H}'_n$; these explicit formulas also show that equivariance will still hold).

Using the decomposition of the previous lemma, set

$$h_V = 0 \oplus \bigoplus_{n\geq 1}(1 \otimes \mathcal{G}_n)$$

By the $\Sigma_n \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariance it follows that h_V is a homotopy contracting $\Omega Sym^*_c(sV)$ to

$$k \oplus \bigoplus_{n\geq 1} \Big(V^{\otimes n} \otimes_{k[\Sigma_n]} k\Big) = Sym^*(V)$$

and that h_V commutes with the anti-involution ι_Ω as well.

3.3 Relation with semistandard tableaux.

Our original approach to Theorem 1 was based on the equivalent language of semistandard tableaux. The main advantage of using permutahedra is better compatibility with the involution ι_Ω on $\Omega Sym_c^*(sV)$. On the other hand, semi-standard tableax give an explicit decomposition of $\Omega Sym_c^*(V)$ into irreducible $GL(V)$-modules (e.g. when V is a finite dimensional vector space in homological degree 0). These results (perhaps known to experts in combinatorics) are not used in this paper, and the proof is left to the interested reader.

The link between permutahedra and Young tableaux becomes clear if we consider the faces of P_n which correspond to ordered partitions $\psi = [\psi_1|\ldots|\psi_d]$ with fixed $m_i = \#\psi_i$. Denoting $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_d)$ we see that the set of such faces is a single Σ_n-orbit of

$$\psi_{\mathbf{m}} = [1, \ldots, m_1|(m_1+1), \ldots, (m_2+m_1)|\ldots|(m_1+\ldots+m_{d-1}+1), \ldots, n]$$

If orientations are taken into account, it becomes clear that the line $k \cdot \psi_{\mathbf{m}} \subset C_*(P_n)$ is isomorphic to the sign representation $\rho_{\mathbf{m}}$ of the stabilizer $\Sigma_{\mathbf{m}} = \Sigma_{m_1} \times \ldots \times \Sigma_{m_d} \subset \Sigma_n$. Therefore, the Σ_n-submodule

$$M_{\mathbf{m}} = \bigoplus_{\{\psi|\#\psi_i = m_i\ \forall i\}} k \cdot \psi \subset C_*(P_n)$$

is the induced representation $\rho \uparrow_{\Sigma_{\mathbf{m}}}^{\Sigma_n}$. If $\mathcal{S}^\lambda$ is the irreducible Specht module corresponding to a partition λ, cf. e.g. [S], its multiplicity in $M_{\mathbf{m}}$ can be computed as the number of column-semistandard tableaux T with content $\mathbf{m}$, cf. Theorem 2.11.2 in *loc. cit.* Thus, Lemma 5 above will give a decomposition of $\Omega Sym_c^*(sV)$ in terms of Schur complexes. It takes some additional effort to make all explicit homomorphisms compatible with the differential.

Let λ be a partition of n and use the same notation for the corresponding Young diagram. Choose a λ-tableau T, i.e. a bijective map $\{\lambda\} \to \{1, \ldots, n\}$ where $\{\lambda\}$ is the set of cells in λ. Let $C_T, R_T \subset \Sigma_n$ be the column stabilizer and row stabilizer, respectively, i.e. those permutations which preserve values in the columns, resp. rows of T. Setting

$$c_T = \sum_{\sigma \in C_T} \sigma; \qquad r_T^- = \sum_{\sigma \in R_T} (-1)^\sigma \sigma; \qquad e_T = c_T r_T^-$$

we can define the Schur complex $S^T(V) = (V^{\otimes n})e_T$ for any complex of vector spaces V. Here we use alternation in the rows of T, rather than columns, because of the suspension sV involved in $\Omega Sym_c^*(sV)$.

Now suppose that T is standard, i.e. the values increase in rows and columns. Set

$$J_T = \{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ and } T^{-1}(i) \text{ is strictly above } T^{-1}(i+1)\}$$

By construction $J_T \subset \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. For any $J \subset J_T$ with p elements consider the unique weakly increasing surjective map

$$\zeta_J : \{1, \ldots, n\} \to \{1, \ldots, n-p\}$$

such that $J = \{i \mid \zeta_J(i) = \zeta_J(i+1)\}$. Then the composition

$$T_J : \{\lambda\} \xrightarrow{T} \{1, \dots, n\} \xrightarrow{\zeta_J} \{1, \dots, n-p\}$$

is a *column-semistandard tableaux*, i.e. the values increase weakly in the columns and strictly in the rows. It is easy to see that every surjective map $U : \{\lambda\} \to \{1, \dots, n-p\}$ which is a column-semistandard tableau, has the form T_J for unique T and $J \subset J_T$.

Theorem 6 *One has a direct sum decomposition*

$$\Omega Sym_c^*(sV) \simeq k \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda} \bigoplus_{\substack{T \text{ is a standard} \\ \lambda-\text{tableau}}} \left(C_T \otimes S^T(V)\right)$$

where C_T is a combinatorial complex spanned in degree $(-p)$ by T_J with $J \subset J_T, \#J = p$ and differential given by

$$\partial(T_J) = \sum_{j \in J} (-1)^{\#X(J,j)}\, T_{(J \setminus j)}; \qquad X(J,j) = \{i \mid 1 \leq j \leq j-1, i \notin J\}$$

To describe the isomorphism explicitly, for any $J \subset J_T$ let $\mathbf{m}(J) = (m(J)_1, \dots, m(J)_{n-p})$ with $m(J)_i = \#T_J^{-1}(i)$ and $\sigma_{\mathbf{m}(J)} \in k[\Sigma_n]$ the average of all elements in the corresponding subgroup $\Sigma_{\mathbf{m}(J)} \subset \Sigma_n$. Then for $u \in S^T(V) = V^{\otimes n} e_T$ we set

$$(T_J \otimes u) \mapsto \frac{1}{m(J)_1! \dots m(J)_{n-p}!} \pi_J(u \sigma_{\mathbf{m}(J_T)}) \in \Omega Sym_c^*(sV)$$

where π_J is the composition

$$V^{\otimes n} \to Sym^{m(J)_1}(V) \otimes \dots \otimes Sym^{m(J)_{n-p}}(V) \to s^{-1} Sym^{m(J)_1}(sV) \otimes \dots \otimes s^{-1} Sym^{m(J)_{n-p}}(sV)$$

Note that the complex C_T may be indentified with the standard Koszul complex on the vector space with basis labeled by elements of J_T; and one could use this identification to write *explicitly* a homotopy h_V satisfying the functoriality condition of Theorem 1. For example one could use the following homotopies on C_T:

$$h_T(T_J) = \frac{1}{\#J_T} \sum_{j \in (J_T \setminus J)} (-1)^{\#X(J,j)} T_{(J \cup j)}$$

However, to ensure that h_V commutes with the involution ι_Ω we may have to replace it by $h'_V = \frac{1}{2}(h_V + \iota_\Omega h_V \iota_\Omega)$ and this has no apparent meaning in terms of semistandard tableaux.

4 Further questions

- The present approach, in principle, should give a combinatorial formula for the product in the usual universal enveloping. It would be interesting to write it explicitly.

- The homotopy h_V constructed in Section 3 is not canonical: one is still making choices in terms of faces of the permutahedra. Is there a special choice of h_V which results in any additional properties of $U(L)$, e.g. $U(\psi) \circ U(\phi) = U(\psi \circ \phi)$ for all ψ, ϕ?

- It would be nice to have a more thorough understanding of the correspondence $L \mapsto U(L)$ from the operadic point of view. Also, in Theorem 2 we prove that the diagonal map of $Sym^*_c(L)$ is a strict morphism of A_∞-algebras if $Sym^*(L) \otimes Sym^*(L)$ is identified with $Sym^*(L \oplus L)$. Although the "A_∞ tensor product"

  $$Sym^*(L) \otimes Sym^*(M) \simeq Sym^*(L \oplus M)$$

  is extremely natural in the present context, its relation to such operadic constructions as the Saneblidze-Umble diagonal on permutahendra and associahedra, cf. [SU], or the diagonal on the W-construction of the associative operad, cf. [MS], remains a mystery for the author.

  The W-construction may be relevant, since for any *associative* algebra A a contraction (F, G, H) from A to E defines a W-algebra structure on E: using the terminology of [MS], non-metric edges will be labeled by GF, metric edges by H and internal vertices by multiple products. The usual A_∞-structure, cf. [GLS], [KS], is induced via the operadic map $A_\infty \to W$ described in [MS]. Moreover, the diagonal on the W-construction, cf. *loc. cit.*, corresponds precisely to the tensor product of homotopies $(H_1, H_2) \mapsto H_1 \otimes 1 + G_1 F_1 \otimes H_2$.

- In a recent spectacular work, cf. [M], Merkulov has proved that a general homotopy Lie bialgebra can be quantized, i.e. it defines a homotopy bialgebra structure on $Sym^*(L)$. This construction involves some non-explicit choices of operadic maps and a more transparent version of it is highly desirable. Is it possible to describe this quantization along the lines of Kazhdan-Etingof using Theorem 4 in this paper?

5 Appendix

5.1 Standard constructions of differential homological algebra.

Let L be a DG Lie algebra with differential l_1 and the bracket $l_2 : L^{\otimes 2} \to L$. Its *Cartan-Chevalley-Eilenberg construction* $C(L)$ is the DG coalgebra $Sym^*_c(sL)$ with the differential $\delta_C = c_1 + c_2$ defined as follows. Let $s^{\otimes n} : L^{\otimes n} \to (sL)^{\otimes n}$ be the obvious degree $(-n)$ isomorphism and set

$$c_1 = -s\, l_1 s^{-1} : sL \to sL; \quad c_2 = s\, l_2 (s^{\otimes 2})^{-1} : (sL)^{\otimes 2} \to sL$$

extending these maps to $Sym^*_c(sL)$ as coderivations. The property $\delta_C^2 = 0$ follows from $l_1^2 = 0$, the Leibniz Rule and the Jacobi Identity for l_2.

In general, if L is a graded vector space and δ is a differential on $Sym_c^*(sL)$ which is a coderivation, we can consider compositions $c_n : (sL)^{\otimes n} \to Sym_c^*(sL) \xrightarrow{\delta} Sym_c^*(sL) \to sL$ and define $l_n : L^{\otimes n} \to L$ via

$$c_n = (-1)^n s\, l_n (s^{\otimes n})^{-1}$$

Then $\{l_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ give L the structure of an L_∞-algebra, cf. [LM]. If $\phi : (Sym_c^*(sL), \delta) \to (Sym_c^*(sL'), \delta')$ is a (degree zero) morphism of DG coalgebras, by a similar formula we get a sequence of degree $1-i$ maps $\phi_1 : \Lambda^i(L) \to L'$. The sequence $\{\phi_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ (or, equivalently, the original morphism ϕ) is called an L_∞-morphism from L to L'.

The main purpose of this article is to provide a construction of the universal enveloping for L. To that end, we need two more definitions.

Let $A = k \oplus \overline{A}$ be an augmented DG algebra with differential m_1 and product m_2. Its *reduced cobar construction* $B(A)$ is the tensor coalgebra $T_c^*(s\overline{A})$ with the differential $\delta_B = b_1 + b_2$ defined in a similar way:

$$b_1 = (-1)^1 s\, m_1 s^{-1} : s\overline{A} \to s\overline{A}; \quad b_2 = (-1)^2 s\, m_2 (s^{\otimes 2})^{-1} : (s\overline{A})^{\otimes 2} \to s\overline{A}.$$

Then b_1 and b_2 extend uniquely to $B(A)$ as coderivations and $\delta_B^2 = 0$ follows from $m_1^2 = 0$, the Leibniz Rule and associativity of m_2.

Again, one can consider a general differential δ_B on $T_c^*(s\overline{A})$ which is a coderivation, and obtain operations $m_n : A^{\otimes n} \to A$ by first considering

$$b_n : (s\overline{A})^{\otimes n} \to BA \xrightarrow{\delta_B} BA \to s\overline{A}$$

and then writing

$$b_n = (-1)^n s\, m_n (s^{\otimes n})^{-1}.$$

The resulting operations $\{m_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ give A a structure of an A_∞-algebra, cf. [K], [MSS]. Since we use the reduced bar construction, A is automatically *strictly unital*, i.e.

$$m_n(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = 0; \text{ if } n \geq 3 \text{ and } \; v_i = 1 \text{ for some } i$$

and $m_2(v, 1) = m_2(1, v) = v$. If $f : (BA, \delta_B) \to (BA', \delta_B')$ is a DG coalgebra morphism, we get a sequence of degree $(1-i)$ maps $f_i : A^{\otimes i} \to A'$ which we call an A_∞-morphism from A to A'. Again, since we use reduced bar constructions, the *morphism* is automatically *strictly unital*: $f_i = 0$ if $i \geq 2$ and one of its arguments is equal to $1 \in A$.

Finally, let $C = k \oplus \overline{C}$ be a coaugmented DG coalgebra. Its *reduced cobar construction* is a DG algebra $\Omega(C) = T_a^*(s^{-1}\overline{C})$ with the differential $\delta_\Omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ where ω_1 and ω_2 are obtained from the differential on C and the reduced coproduct $\overline{\Delta} : \overline{C} \otimes \overline{C} \to \overline{C}$, respectively, using the same pattern as before (except this time w_1 and w_2 are extended from $s^{-1}\overline{C}$ to $\Omega(C)$ as derivations). If C is *cocommutative* the DG algebra $\Omega(C)$ also has a shuffle coproduct $\Delta_\Omega : \Omega(C) \to \Omega(C) \boxtimes \Omega(C)$ defined on $s^{-1}\overline{C} \subset \Omega(C)$ by

$$\Delta_\Omega(u) = u \boxtimes 1 + 1 \boxtimes u$$

and extended to $\Omega(C)$ multiplicatively. Thus, $\Omega(C)$ becomes a DG bialgebra (the fact that δ_Ω is also a coderivation uses cocommutativity of C).

5.2 Perturbation Lemma

We recall the main result behind the pertrubation machinery, cf. e.g. [Br]. Let (M, d_M), (N, d_N) be two complexes. Consider a contraction

$$F : N \to M; \qquad G : M \to N; \qquad H : N \to N$$

which satisfies the usual identities $FG = 1_N$, $1_M - GF = d_N H + H d_N$. Adjusting the homotopy H if necessary, cf. Section 2 in [LS], one can always assume that the following "side conditions" are also safisfied

$$FH = 0; \qquad HH = 0; \qquad HG = 0.$$

Now suppose we are given a new differential $d_N + t$ on N such that (tH) is locally nilpotent (i.e. for any element $n \in N$ there is a positive integer $k(n)$ such that $(tH)^{k(n)}(n) = 0$). Then the infinite sum

$$X = t - tHt + tHtHt - \dots$$

is well-defined. Introduce

$$F_t = F(1 - XH); \quad G_t = (1 - HX)G; \quad H_t = H - HXH; \quad (d_M)_t = d_M + FXG$$

Proposition 7 *(Basic Perturbation Lemmma) Under the assumptions introduced above,* (F_t, G_t, H_t) *is a contraction of the complex* $(N, d_N + t)$ *to the complex* $(M, (d_M)_t)$ *which also satisfies the side conditions.*

The following result can be checked by direct computation.

Proposition 8 *Suppose that* $d_N + t_1$ *and* $d_N + t_1 + t_2$ *are pertrubations satisfying the above nilpotency condition. Then* $(d_M)_{t_1+t_2} = ((d_M)_{t_1})_{t_2}$ *and similarly for* F, G *and* H.

5.3 (Generalized) twisted cochains

Let C be a coagumented DG coalgebra and A an augmented DG algebra A degree +1 map $\tau : \overline{C} \to \overline{A}$ is called a *twisted cochain* if τ satisfies

$$\tau d_C + d_A \tau = \mu \circ (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ \Delta$$

where $\Delta : \overline{C} \to \overline{C} \otimes \overline{C}$ is the *reduced* coproduct of C and μ is the product in A. This conditions guarantees that τ both the canonical coalgebra morphism $C \to BA$ and the canonical algebra morphism $\Omega C \to A$, which extend τ, commute with differentials.

When A is a strictly unital A_∞-algebra, one can write a *generalized twisted cochain* condition for τ, cf. [AAFR]:

$$\tau d_C + d_A \tau = \sum_{i \geq 2} \mu_i \circ \tau^{\otimes i} \circ \Delta^{(i)}$$

where μ_i are the products in A and $\Delta^{(i)} : \overline{C} \to \overline{C}^{\otimes i}$ is the iteration of the reduced coproduct. This condition is equivalent to requiring that $C \to BA$ is a morphism of complexes.

Finally, if L is an L_∞-algebra then an L_∞-module structure on a vector space M is defined by choosing a differential d on $C(L) \otimes M$ which makes it a DG-comodule over $C(L)$. This differential encodes maps $\Lambda^k(L) \otimes M \to M$ which satisfy a series of quadratic identities arising from $d^2 = 0$. It follows from the definitions that the same structure is also encoded by a twisted cochain $C(L) \to End(M)$. Similarly, A_∞-modules over an A_∞-algebra A are encoded either by comodule differentials on $BA \otimes M$ or twisted cochains $BA \to End(M)$.

If τ is a generalized twisted cochain as above and N is a DG comodule over C, we denote by $N \otimes_\tau A$ the tensor product $N \otimes A$ with the differential

$$\delta = \delta_N \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_A + \sum_{s \geq 2} (1 \otimes m_s)(1 \otimes \otimes \tau^{\otimes(s-1)} \otimes 1)(\Delta_N^{(s)} \otimes 1)$$

where m_s is the s-th product in A and $\Delta_N^{(s)} : N \to N \otimes \overline{C}^{\otimes(s-1)}$ is the iterated reduced coaction map. The infinite sum makes sense if N is cocomplete, i.e. $N = \cup_i Ker(\Delta_N^{(i)})$.

On the other hand, is M is an A_∞-module over A with action maps $m_s^M : M \otimes A^{\otimes(s-1)} \to M$ then denote by $M \otimes_\tau C$ the tensor product $M \otimes C$ with the differential

$$\delta = \delta_M \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_C + \sum_{s \geq 2} (m_s^M \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \tau^{\otimes(s-1)} \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \Delta^{(s)}).$$

Observe that $N \otimes_\tau A$ is a quasi-free right A_∞-module over A and $M \otimes C$ is a quasi-free DG comodule over C. See Section 3 in [B2] on how to define the corresponding functors on morphisms, and other details.

References

[AAFR] Álvarez, V.; Armario, J. A.; Frau, M. D.; Real, P.: Transferring TTP-structures via contraction. *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **7** (2005), no. 2, 41–54.

[B1] Baranovsky, V.: BGG correspondence for projective complete intersections, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005**, no. 45, 2759–2774.

[B2] Baranovsky, V.: BGG correspondence for toric complete intersections, to appear in *Moscow. Math. J.*.

[Br] Brown, R.: The twisted Eilenberg-Zilber theorem. 1965 *Simposio di Topologia (Messina, 1964).* pp. 33–37

[FHT] Félix, Y.; Halperin, S.; Thomas, J.-C.: Rational homotopy theory. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 205. *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 2001.

[GLS] Gugenheim, V. K. A. M.; Lambe, L. A.; Stasheff, J. D.: Perturbation theory in differential homological algebra. II. *Illinois J. Math.* **35** (1991), no. 3, 357–373.

[K] Keller, B.: Introduction to A-infinity algebras and modules. *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **3** (2001), no. 1, 1–35.

[KS] Kontsevich, M., Soibelman, Y.: Notes on A-infinity algebras, A-infinity categories and non-commutative geometry, I, preprint math.RA/0606241.

[LH] Lefèvre-Hasegawa, K.: Sur les A-infini catégories, preprint math.CT/0310337.

[LM] Lada, T.; Markl, M.: Strongly homotopy Lie algebras. *Comm. Algebra* **23** (1995), no. 6, 2147–2161.

[LS] Lambe, L.; Stasheff, J.: Applications of perturbation theory to iterated fibrations. *Manuscripta Math.* **58** (1987), no. 3, 363–376.

[M] Merkulov, S. A.: Quantization of strongly homotopy Lie bialgebras, preprint math.QA/0612431.

[MS] Markl, M.; Shnider, S.: Associahedra, cellular W-construction and products of A_∞-algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), no. 6, 2353–2372.

[MSS] Markl, M.; Shnider, S.; Stasheff, J.: Operads in algebra, topology and physics. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 96. *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI*, 2002.

[PP] Polishchuk, A.; Positselski, L.: Quadratic algebras. University Lecture Series, 37. *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI*, 2005.

[S] Sagan B.E.: The symmetric group. Representations, combinatorial algorithms, and symmetric functions. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 203. *Springer-Verlag, New York*, 2001.

[SU] Saneblidze, S.; Umble, R.: Diagonals on the permutahedra, multiplihedra and associahedra. *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **6** (2004), no. 1, 363–411.

Department of Mathematics, 103 MSTB
University of California, Irvine
Irvine, CA 92697, USA
email: vbaranov@math.uci.edu